



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 08/948,328 | 10/10/1997 | DAVID SIMPSON | | 6864 | |
| 32127 | 7590 07/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. | | | HOOSAIN | HOOSAIN, ALLAN | |
| C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN | | | | | |
| 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MAILCODE HQEO3H14 | | | 2645 | 2645 | |
| IRVING, TX | 75038 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Commence | 08/948,328 | SIMPSON ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Allan Hoosain | 2645 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day illiapply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju | <u>ıne 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-18,26 and 27</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-13,19-25</u> is/are rejected. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>12-13,19-25</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list (| or the certified copies flot receive | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2645

FINAL DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-11,14-18,26-27 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tel (US 5,943,648).

As to Claim 12, with respect to Figures 1-2, Tel teaches a network server, comprising:

- a computer, 102, coupled to a data communication network, 124, said computer being programmed to execute sequences of program instructions for:
- (a) obtaining textual information for messages for end users (a plurality of subscribers) (Figure 1, label 126 and Col. 3, lines 43-48);
- (b) performing a significant portion of a text to speech process to convert the textual information of the messages to formant data (speech synthesizer instructions), each formant datum (speech synthesizer instruction) identifying phonemes (a fundamental sound) and stress

Art Unit: 2645

assignments (at least one control parameter) for controlling generation of spoken words (a waveform) corresponding to the phonemes (fundamental sound) (Col. 4, lines 21-34); and

- (c) transmitting compressed data stream (sequences of the speech synthesizer instructions), representing the messages, over the data communication network to subscriber terminals for waveform generation in response thereto (Col. 6, lines 10-25).
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Richard et al.** (US 5,924,068).

As to Claim12, with respect to Figures 1-2,18, **Richard** teaches a system comprising:

a text marker 110 (server) coupled to a transmission medium 310 (data communication network) said server being programmed to execute sequences of program instructions for:

- (a) obtaining textual information for forming news articles (messages) for people (a plurality of subscribers) (Figures 1-2),
- (b) performing a significant portion of a text to speech process to convert the textual information of at least one of the news articles (messages) to unmatched words and operator inserts (speech synthesizer instructions) (Figure 2, labels 210,214), and
- (c) transmitting the unmatched words and operator inserts (speech synthesizer instructions) over the transmission medium (data communication network) (Figure 3); and a subscriber terminal for receiving the unmatched word and operator inserts (speech synthesizer instructions) via the transmission medium (data communication network) (Figure 4).

Art Unit: 2645

said subscriber terminal comprising a speech synthesizer for synthesizing a speech wave form signal representing the at least one message from the speech synthesizer instructions (Figure 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 19-20,22-23,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al. (US 5,835,087) in view of Tel.

As to Claims 19,22-23,25, with respect to Figure 2, **Herz** teaches a method of providing personalized information services, comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

storing search profiles (separate profiles) relating to articles of interest (topics of interest) to users (a plurality of individual subscribers) (Col. 55, lines 54-67);

receiving new articles (items of information) from servers (a plurality of sources) (Col. 55, lines 54-67);

comparing the new articles as target profiles (items of information) to the search profiles (subscriber profiles) to identify articles of interest (items of interest) to particular users (subscribers) (Col. 55, lines 54-67);

Herz does not teach the following limitations:

converting textual information relating to at least some of the identified items of interest to sequences of speech synthesizer instructions;

transmitting each of the sequences of instructions to one or more terminals, each termial being utilized by a subscriber;

storing received sequences of instructions in respective subscriber terminals; in response to one of the sequences of instructions, retrieving sound samples from memory in a subscriber terminal in an order specified by the one sequence of instructions and adjusting process parameters for the retrieved samples in a manner specified by the one sequence of instructions, to thereby generate a speech waveform signal representative of one of the identified items of interest;

Tel teaches a system which converts text information to formant data and supplemental data (speech synthesizer instructions), transmits the information to user terminals, stores the formant data and supplemental data and generates speech in accordance with the formant data and supplemental data (Col. 6, lines 6-29 and Col. 4, lines 21-52). Tel teaches that it is desirable or essential to present users with textual information orally and to present the oral information with voice characteristics (Col. 1, lines 32-44 and Col. 2, lines 2-8). Herz only teaches the transmission of textual information to users but similarly performs analogous requests and reception of information (Col. 58, lines 26-56). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the speech

4. 2645

Art Unit: 2645

synthesizer capabilities to Herz's invention for transmitting voice characteristics information to users as taught by Tel's invention in order to provide a user with an oral version of text information.

As to Claim 20, **Herz** does not teach computing and converting linguistic parameters into speech synthesizer instructions.

However, Tel teaches a system which computes and converts linguistic parameters into speech synthesizer instructions (Col. 6, lines 6-29 and Col. 4, lines 21-52). Tel teaches computing linguistic boundaries (Col. 4, lines 22-26 and 50-52). Herz only teaches the transmission of textual information to users but similarly performs analogous requests and reception of information (Col. 58, lines 26-56). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the linguistic capabilities to Herz's invention for transmitting voice characteristics information to users as taught by Tel's invention in order to provide a user with an oral version of text information in complete sentences.

8. Claims 21,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Herz** in view of **Tel** and further in view of **Parzych** (US 6,115,384).

As to Claims 21,24, **Herz** teaches the method as in claim 19, wherein the step of transmitting comprises:

Herz does not teach the following limitations:

Art Unit: 2645

"transmitting at least some of the sequences of instructions over a wireless data link to a plurality of the respective subscriber terminals"

However, Herz teaches laptop computers which are movable systems and suggests wireless networks and wireless data links (Col. 30, lines 38-42).

Parzych teaches laptop computers connected to wireless CDPD networks via modems which makes requests for information (Figure 3, labels 46,48 and Col. 6, lines 29-51). Parzych also teaches that information access in the future will be more so with wireless networks than wireline networks (Col. 2, lines 11-20).

Tel teaches a system which converts text information to supplemental data (speech synthesizer instructions), transmits the information to user terminals, stores the supplemental data and generates speech in accordance with the supplemental data (Col. 6, lines 6-29 and Col. 4, lines 21-52).

Therefore, having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add wireless access capability to Herz's invention for wireless connectivity as taught by Parzych's invention and to receive supplemental data as taught by Tel's invention in order to provide users with more access to information using wireless networks and oral versions of text information.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tel in view of Herz.

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claim13, **Tel** teaches a system as in Claim 12, further comprising an information database (news information server), said server being programmed to execute sequences of program instructions for:

"Storing profile information regarding news topics of interest to individual subscribers; Receiving and storing news items from one or more sources;

Comparing the stored news items to the stored profile information to identify news items of interest to each individual subscriber;

Addressing mail messages containing text information representing the items of interest to subscribers mail boxes in the mail system; and

Transmitting the mail message containing text information representing the items of interest to the mail system"

Herz teaches the storing, receiving, comparing, addressing and transmitting limitations above (Col. 55, lines 54-67 and Col. 58, lines 35-42). Tel teaches that the information database can analogously store converted information and transmit the information at schedule times. In addition, Tel analogously teaches retrieving information based upon requests made to the information database (Col. 5, lines 57-65 and Col. 3, lines 60-65). Therefore, having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the mailbox capability to Tel's invention for storing messages as taught by Herz's invention in order to provide real-time delivery or later delivery of user's messages.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-27 in the 6/23/05 Remarks have been considered but are not persuasive because of the following:

Examiner respectfully disagrees that Richard does not teach speech synthesizer instruction because of the same reasons given in the 5/20/05 Office Action.

Art Unit: 2645

Also, Examiner respectfully invites Applicants to contact Examiner to discuss other possible amendments for overcoming the prior art of record.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

None

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

13. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2645

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Carlyle, Alexandria, VA 22313 (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Allan Hoosain** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Fan Tsang**, can be reached on (571) 272-7547.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Allan Hoosain Primary Examiner 7/7/05 Page 10